

This filing is in response to your letter dated September 12, 2006 regarding our July Quarterly Report and discrepancies between that filing and our 48-hour filings. Three types of discrepancies were noted:

1. As per your letter, two 48-hour notices were filed (Lois Sontag and Jeanne Dutton) but the corresponding contributions did not appear on the quarterly filing. For these two contributors, their contributions were allocated partially towards the Primary election and partially toward the General election. As such, for each of these contributors, there are two contributions noted for each in our quarterly filing. The sum of these two contributions would add to the amount filed in the 48-hour notices.
2. The second part of your letter discusses differences in the amounts filed in the 48-hour notices and the amounts filed in our quarterly filing. There were three contributors who fell into this category. I mistakenly filed the wrong amounts in the 48-hour notices. For Roger Ferris, I filed \$2,100 but it should have been \$2,200; for Luisa Francoeur, I filed \$2,100 but it should have been \$2,450; and for Thomas Kearns, I filed \$1,900 but it should have been \$2,000 (not \$4,200 as mentioned in your letter). This error was due to a miscalculation on my part. I have fixed my systems so that this will not occur again. My apologies.
3. The last part of your letter mentions two contributions which were noted in the quarterly filing but no 48 hour notice was filed for them. The contribution from Gregory Shaw was an earmarked contribution from Emily's List. Generally, we receive contribution names and amounts from them at the end of each month. As such, we weren't aware of this contribution until way after the deadline for 48 hour notices. Emily's List mistakenly thought our primary cut off date was in August and as such, didn't send us the information we needed. In the future, I will make sure that we obtain the necessary info during the 48-hour notice period to make correct filings. The second contribution, from the National Committee to Preserve Social Security, was postmarked September 12, 2006, but we actually received it much later (due to slow mail). As the 48 hour period had lapsed, I did not make this filing. In the future, I will make such filings even if they are late.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.
